EFFICACY OF LASER SURGERY FOR BENIGN PROSTATIC HYPERPLASIA TREATMENT

Hasmeinda Marindratama

Medical faculty of Universitas Muhammadiyah Surakarta

ABSTRACT

Benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) is the most important cases of lower urinary track symptoms (LUTS) and it affects patient's quality of life significantly. Laser is one of new altervative treatment for BPH with minimally invasive surgery. This review was done by data searching in Pubmed data bases with keywords Laser, Outcomes, and Benign prostatic hyperplasia. There are 382 articles were found, and only 12 articles suitable with the theme were reviewed. In addition, there were 7 articles to complete the discussion. Laser surgery has promising outcomes for treating BPH.

Keywords: Laser surgery, Benign prostatic hyperplasia, HoLEP, HPS Greenlight PVP, XPS HPS Greenlight PVP, Thulium laser





INTRODUCTION

Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia (BPH) is prostate adenoma/adenomata causing varies degree of bladder outlet obstruction with or without symptoms¹⁸. BPH is one of the most common diseases in ageing men which can lead to lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS)⁸.

There are three types management of BPH include watchful waiting, drug therapy and surgery. Although a majority of patients with BPH could be treated with watchful waiting or drug therapies (alpha-blockers, 5-alpha-reductase inhibitors, anticholinergics, phytotherapeutics alone combinations), there is still a certain number of patients finally required surgical intervention, such as transurethral resection of prostate (TURP) and surgeries lasers.19

Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia

Lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) suggestive of benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) is a common and condition bothersome in aaina men.Coyne et al. made study about the prevalence of LUTS in three countries (USA, UK, Swedish). The prevalence of moderate to severe LUTS in men ranges from 16.2% to 25.1%, while the prevalence of LUTS described at least 'sometimes' is 72.3% and 47.9% for at least 'often', respectively.12The study from Kim et al about the prevalence of LUTS in Korea on 1.842 subjects, the overall prevalence of LUTS was 83.4%.6

Male LUTS can be classified as voiding symptoms (obstructive) and storage symptoms (irritative). Voiding symptoms include hesitancy, weak stream, post micturition dribble, urinary

straining, retention, and incomplete emptying. Storage symptoms include: urgency, urge incontinence, frequency, nocturia. dysuria and suprapubic pain.There are guidelines some diagnose BPH, such as age (usually > 40years of age), International Prostate Symptoms Score (IPSS) and Quality of Life (QoL) index, palpate and percuss for a distended bladder, Digital rectal examination, Serum Prostate Antigen (to out prostatic cancer), Ultrasonography.¹⁸

Laser Surgery

In the past two decades, Trans Urethral Resection of the Prostate (TURP) is still frequently used as traditional surgical therapy for BPH, several lasers including holmium laser, thulium laser, KTP/Nd:YAG laser, Nd:YAG laser, diode laser and green light laser, have also shown excellent clinical effectiveness for BPH. All these available surgical treatments have their individual advantages or disadvantages.¹⁹

In Korea, a study was done by (2011) Sohnet al. on 60 patients diagnosed as Benian Prostate Hypertrophy (BPH) and were prescribed anticoagulant medications, concluded that for BPH patiens taking anticoagulant medications for the treatment prevention of cardiac or cerebral diseases who require surgical treatments, 120 W High-Performance (HPS)Greenlight laser Vaporization of the Prostate (PVP) is considered to be an effective and safe surgical method.¹⁵ Another study with more than 6 months postoperative follow up period was conducted by Yong et al. (2013) on 533 patients diagnosed as BPH

and treated with 120 W HPS Greenlight PVP, concluded that laser resection of the prostate is safe and effective. The results of HPS Greenlight PVP were not influenced by prostate size, the use of anticoagulants, intake of 5-alpha reductase inhibitor medication, history of acute urinary retention, or history of transurethral resection of the prostate.³A retrospective study with more than 60 months postoperative follow up period was conducted by Park et al. (2017) on underwent patients who Greenlight PVP, concluded that it is an effective, long-term treatment option for bPH, with sustained efficacy of 76.1% at 5year follow up. Presence of DM, voiding symptom sub score, Quality of life, cystometric Maximal capacity, Bladder outlet obstruction index were valuable preoperative parameters for predicting postoperative success.9

Still in Korea, a study conducted by Ryooet al. (2015) included 174 patients treated with Holmium laser enucleation of the prostate (HoLEP), concluded that there are good surgical outcomes after HoLEP and specifically patients with a higher BOO index had greater chance of success.14Similar suraical study conducted by Park et al (2017) on 132 patients who underwent HoLEP by single and was received surgeon medication for at least 6 months before surgery, concluded that when other medical treatments are ineffective, HoLEP is an effective intervention not only in patients with a large prostate (>30 mL), but also in patients with a small prostate (≤30 mL).¹0Another study by Ku et al. (2010)on 120 patients with underwent photoselective vaporization with an 80 W potassium-titanyl-phosphate

(KTP) laser and followed up for 12 months, concluded that high-power KTP photoselective laser vaporization of the prostate is safe and efficacious for patients with BPH regardless of prostate volume although a larger prostate requires more time and energy delivery.⁷

Another study in Korea was done by Choi et al. to observe the improvements of IPSS, Qmax, and PVR in 371 patients with BOO and detrusor underactivity (DU), and 120 W HPS laser PVP was performed to resolve the BOO. They concluded that 120 W HPS laser PVP seems to be an appropriate treatment modality regardless of the existence of DU.²

A retrospective study in United Kingdom (2013) involving 772 patients underwent HoLEP or TURP within 52-months study period, concluded that the introduction of HoLEP alongside TURP is associated with lower rates of blood transfusion and shorter length of hospital stay for all patients.¹⁷

Research by Baldiniet al. (2016) in France involving 67 patients, 39 patients had HoLEP and 28 had Laparoscopic trancapsular prostatectomy (LTP); comparing perioperative outcomes, complications, and functional results at 3 months between HoleP LTP; and concluded that there was no increased morbidity for LTP compared to HoLEP technique. However, the HoLEP technique appeared to be a less invasive technique, reducing the duration of catheterization, blood loss, and the average length of stay while maintaining efficacy for the enucleated aood prostate volume.1

Another research by Elkoushye*t al.* (2015) in Egypt involving 82 patients

undergoing Holmium laser transurethral incision of the prostate by a single surgeon for BOO, concluded that Holmium laser transurethral incision of the prostate is a durable, safe and efficient procedure to treat BOO secondary to a small prostate.⁵

A cohort study of 161 patients with BPH was done by Ekenet al. (2015) in Turkey. In total, 88 patients underwent laser PVP using the greenlight HPS system, and 73 patients were treated using the system. greenlight XPS The concluded that both the greenlight XPS and the greenlight HPS systems provide safe and effective tissue vaporization of enlarged prostate gland. greenlight XPS system is associated with decreased operatin time, suggesting more efficient tissue removal and costeffectiveness.4

Research by Vargas et al. (2014) in Spain involving 55 patients with BPH undergoing treatment with 150W Thulium Laser then the changes in Qmax and IPSS evaluated after 6 months, was concluded that vaporization of prostate Thulium with 150W Laser presents promisina results in the clinical improvement of patients with small and medium prostates after 6 months. Its complication rate is low, and it offers excellent hemostasis.16

CONCLUSION

Laser surgery has promising outcomes for treating BPH.

REFERENCES

Baldini A, Fassi-Fehri H, Duarte RC, Crouzet S, Ecochard R, Abid Net al. Holmium laser enucleation of the prostate

versus laparoscopic trancapsular prostatectomy: Perioperative results and three-month follow-up. CurrUrol 2016;10:81-86.

Choi SW, Choi YS, Bae WJ, Kim SJ, Cho HJ, Hong SHet al. 120 W greenlight HPS laser photoselective vaporization of the prostate for treatment of benign prostatic hyperplasia in men with detrusor underactivity. Korean J Urol 2011;52:824-828.

Choi YS, Bae WJ, Kim SJ, Kim KS, Cho HJ, Hong SHet al. Efficacy and safety of 120-W greenlight high-performance system laser photo vaporization of the prostate: 3-year results with specific considerations. Prostate Int 2013;1(4):169-176.

Eken A, Soyupak B, Acil M, Arpaci T, Akbas T. Safety, efficacy and outcomes of the new greenlight XPS 180W laser system compared to the greenlight HPS 120W system for the treatment of benign prostatic hyperplasia in a prospective nonrandomized single-centre study. Can UrolAssoc J 2015;9(1-2):e56-60.

Elkoushy MA, Elshal AM, Elhilali MM. Holmium laser transurethral incision of the prostate: Can prostate size predict the long-term outcome? Can UrolAssoc J 2015;9(7-8):248-54.

Kim TH, Han DH, Lee KS. The prevalence of lower urinary track symptoms in Korean men aged 40 years or older: A population-based survey. IntNeurourol J 2014;18:126-132.

Ku JH, Kim SW, Paick JS. Impact of prostate volume on the efficacy of high-

power potassium-titanyl-phosphate photoselective vaporization of the prostate: A retrospective, short-term follow-up study on evaluating feasibility and safety. Yonsei Med J 2010;51(6):877-882.

Lim KB. Epidemiology of clinical benign prostatic hyperplasia. Asian Journal of Urology 2017;4:148-151.

Park J, Cho SY, Cho MC, Jeong H, Son H. 5-year long-term efficacy of 120-W greenlightphotoselective vaporization of the prostate for benign prostate hyperplasia. PLoS ONE 2017;12(9):e0184442.

Park S, Kwon T, Park S, Moon KH. Efficacy of holmium laser enucleation of the prostate in patients with a small prostate (≤30 mL). World J Mens Health 2017;December 35(3):163-169.

Purkait B Sinha RJ, Srinivas KS, Bansal A, Sokhal AK, Singh V. outcome analysis of transurethral resection versus potassium titanyl phosphate photo selective vaporization of the prostate for the treatment of benign prostatic hyperplasie: A randomized controlled trial with 4 years follow up. Turk J Urol 2017;43(2):176-82.

Raharjo RA. Diagnosis and treatment patterns of male lower urinary track symptoms suggestive of benign prostatic hyperplasia in Murjani General Hospital, Central Kalimantan, Indonesia. Prostate Int 2016;4:65-69.

Razzaghi MR, Karkan MF, Ghiasy S, Javanmard B. Laser application in iran urology: A narrative review. J Lasers Med Sci 2018 Winter;9(1):1-6.

Ryoo HS, Suh YS, Kim TH, Sung HH, Jeong J, Lee KS. Efficacy of holmium laser enucleation of the prostate based on patient preoperative characteristics. IntNeurourol J 2015;19:278-285.

Sohn JH, Choi YS, Kim SJ, Cho HJ, Hong SH, Lee JYet al. Effectiveness and safety of photoselective vaporization of the prostate with the 120 W HPS greenlight laser in benign prostatic hyperplasia patients taking oral anticoagulants. Korean J Urol 2011;52:178-183.

Vargas C, Larrosa AG, Capdevila S, Laborda A. Vaporization of the prostate with 150-W thulium laser: Complications with 6-months follow-up. Journal of Endourology 2014;28(7):841-845.

Wilson N, Mikhail M, Acher P, Lodge R, Young A. Introducing holmium laser enucleation of the prostate alongside transurethral resection of the prostate improves outcomes of each procedure. Ann R CollSurgEngl 2013;95:365-368.

Vasanwala FF, Wong MY, Ho HS, Foo KT. Benign prostatic hyperplasia and male lower urinary symptoms: Aguide for Family physicians. Asian Journal of Urology 2017;4:181-184.

Zhang X, Shen P, He Q, Yin X, Chen Z, Gui H. Different lasers in the treatment of benign prostatic hyperplasie: A network meta-analysis. Scientific Reports 2016;6:23503.