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ABSTRACT

This research investigated a relationship between plasma TGF-β1 and POAG among 96 participants comprising 60 glaucoma cases that served as study group and 38 apparently normal individuals that served as control group. The clinical parameters extracted from the subjects' files were intraocular pressure (IOP) and cup-disc (C/D) ratio, while Age and BP were directly obtained from subjects. Blood samples were obtained from the subjects, and subjected to chemical analysis using sandwich enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (sELISA) to assess the plasma concentration of transforming growth factor beta1 (TGF-β1) and thrombospondin-1 (TSP-1) levels. The mean arterial pressure (MAP) and mean ocular perfusion pressure (MOPP) were calculated. Data were analysed using Mann-Whitney test and Spearman's ranking methods. The plasma TGF-β1 level in glaucoma subjects was significantly higher than normal controls (P=0.040) and a significant (P=0.009) decreased value of MOPP in glaucoma subjects than the controls. Furthermore, this study found significant positive association between TGF-β1 versus MAP (P=0.000) and MOPP (P=0.004) among subjects with POAG and significant positive association between TGF-β1 versus Age (P=0.033), MAP (P=0.006) and MOPP (P=0.024) among non-glaucma subjects. The rise in plasma TGF-β1 together with a fall in MOPP values are believed to lead to deterioration of the optic nerve head which eventually leads to optic nerve atrophy. Therefore, there is a possibility for the use of plasma TGF-β1 as a biomarker to monitor the progression of glaucoma disease and MOPP should be evaluated in glaucoma patients.
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INTRODUCTION
Glaucoma is defined as a group of disorders characterized by a progressive optic neuropathy resulting in a characteristic appearance of the optic disc and a specific pattern of irreversible visual field defects that are associated with frequently but not without raised IOP (Khurana (2007). So emphasis has shifted from elevated intraocular pressure as the only damaging factor in glaucoma to it being one of the factors in the glaucoma damage in which retinal ganglion cells (RGCs) apoptosis occurs. Agarwal et al., (2009) reported that apoptosis is the primary and early cause of RGCs death in glaucoma, then necrosis occurs in the late stage of the disease process. Primary factors of glaucoma toxicity are based on two theories; mechanical theory resulting from elevated IOP and vascular insufficiency theory resulting from the decreased ocular perfusion pressure. The secondary factors include toxic effects of glutamate, oxygen free radicals and nitric oxides released during RGCs apoptosis due to primary insults (Hendrick et al., 1994; Chauhan, 1995). Frequently, glaucoma is associated with a higher than normal pressure inside the eyeball (Coleman, 1999; Henson et al., 2000). It has been reported that mean IOP>25.75mmHg had 13% risk of developing glaucoma. However, mean IOP>23.75 but IOP≤25.75mmHg had 10% risk of developing glaucoma, while mean IOP<23.75mmHg had 9% risk of developing glaucoma in individuals with normal central corneal thickness between 555µm to 588µm (Kanski, 2016). Glaucomatous changes have been observed in individuals with normal IOP, Chauhan (1995) suggesting a critical role of other factors in the initiation and progression of glaucomatous changes. Studies have shown an association between vascular insufficiency and glaucoma; diastolic ocular perfusion pressure (DOPP) ≤40mmHg or mean ocular perfusion pressure (MOPP) ≤50mmHg was found to be associated with 1.9 and 3.6 times increase in POAG respectively (Memarzadeh et al., 2010).
Other risk factors of developing glaucoma in healthy subjects include black race, old age (above 40years), the peculiar larger optic disc structure of black people, a positive family history, and vascular factors such as systemic hypertension, perfusion pressure, vasospasm, atherosclerosis and acute hypotension, so also diabetes, myopia, a history of typical migraine headaches, and thinner central corneal (Omoti & Edema, 2007; Wolfs et al., 1998). Current screening techniques have poor sensitivity and are unable to diagnose early POAG; if elevated intraocular pressure (IOP) is used to screen for POAG, more than 50% of POAG patients have an IOP that is <21 mmHg (Sommer, et al., 1991). Also, screening using automated perimetry for glaucomatous visual field defects lacks the resolution to detect early
POAG, as >35% of the retinal ganglion cells can be lost before any visual field defects is observed (Kerrigan-Baumrind et al., 2000). Optical imaging methods do not provide information of the acute molecular processes leading deformations within the optic nerve head (ONH) tissues (Sigal et al., 2009). Over half of individuals with POAG are undiagnosed or untreated (Shaikh, Yu, & Coleman, 2014). Vision loss from glaucoma is silent, slow, progressive, irreversible, and preventable (Robert, 2008).

Transforming growth factor beta isoforms, TGF-β1, -β2, and -β3, are small (25 kDa) secreted dimeric signaling proteins (multifunctional polypeptides) that regulate many essential cellular processes in many parts of the body; liver, lungs, skin including eye structures (Huang, Schor, & Hinck, 2014; Tandon, Torey, Shama, Gupta, & Mohan, 2010).

Transforming growth factor beta1 (TGF-β1) can serve as a nutrition factor and anti-apoptotic factor; offering a self-protection mechanism for apoptosis of RGCs (Tao et al., 2011). The gradual morphogenesis leading to apoptosis can allow for neuroprotective intervention of TGF-β1 and hence salvage the RGCs. Changes in TGF-β1 secretion within the eye might be detectable as changes in the plasma concentration of TGF-β1 just as in the aqueous humor (AH) of glaucoma patients (Kuchtey and Kuchtey, 2014). But increased TGF-β1 concentration causes chemotaxis of pro-inflammatory cells such as monocytes, lymphocytes, neutrophils. Also, it causes fibroblasts and vascular growth factors production, ECM remodeling and angiogenesis (Padua & Massaque, 2009). The initiation of fibroblast proliferation process leads to cascade of events that leads to collagen production, amplification and decreased ECM degradation, resulting to scar formation in Trabecular meshwork (Liu, Wan, & Cao, 2004). Previous studies (Kuchtey et al., 2014) did not consider the consequences of increased blood TGF-β1 concentration on the vessels. Therefore, assessment of plasma TGF-β1 and its relation to ocular perfusion and POAG will aid in monitoring the progression of glaucoma disease.

**METHODOLOGY**

The study was conducted at Makkah Specialist Eye Hospital located in Kano metropolis, Kano state. It was a cross sectional study of individuals attending glaucoma out patients clinic. Using systematic random sampling method, 96 subjects were recruited; comprising of 60 glaucoma patients serving as subjects and 38 age-matched controls. Ethical approval was obtained from the Kano State Hospital Management Board. Participants were requested to sign the informed consent form before commencement of research after thorough explanation of the research purpose and procedures to them. The study was in line with the rules and regulations of the declaration of Helsinki.
1964 as amended (World Medical Association, Brazil, 2013). After subjects signed the informed consent form, the clinical parameters extracted from the subjects files were IOP, C/D ratio, while information about age and BP measurement were directly obtained from the cohorts. The demographic data including family history were obtained from subjects directly using data captured questionnaire. Individuals were diagnosed as glaucomatous by the fellowship trained ophthalmologist treating them; if they had cup-disc ratio (CDR) ≥0.60, IOP >21mmHg and visual field defects while those with CDR <0.6, IOP ≤21.0mmHg and no visual field defect were regarded as non-glaucomatous. Blood samples were obtained from the subjects, then subjected to chemical analysis by sELISA and plasma concentration of TGF-β1 and TSP-1 determined.

The MOPP in all subjects were determined using the formulae below (Van Keer et al., 2016):

\[
\text{MOPP} = 2(MAP) - \frac{1}{3} \text{IOP}
\]

Data were presented as median (range) and analyzed using SPSS 21.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL). Median samples were compared using Mann-Whitney test. Probability value was set at \( P=0.05 \) (\( P<0.05 \) was considered significant). The association between TGF-β1, and other ocular physiologic parameters in the two groups were tested using Spearman’s correlation coefficient.
RESULTS

Table 1: Distribution of Socio-demographic Characteristics of POAG and Non-glaucoma Subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>POAG Total (n= 60), n (%)</th>
<th>Non-glaucoma Total (n= 36), n (%)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age group (years)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-49</td>
<td>12 (20.0)</td>
<td>9 (25.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-59</td>
<td>16 (26.7)</td>
<td>6 (16.7)</td>
<td>0.715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-69</td>
<td>21 (35.0)</td>
<td>12 (33.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 and above</td>
<td>11 (18.3)</td>
<td>9 (25.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>30 (50.0)</td>
<td>18 (50.0)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>30 (50.0)</td>
<td>18 (50.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Residence</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>29 (48.3)</td>
<td>15 (41.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>23 (38.3)</td>
<td>15 (41.7)</td>
<td>0.503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semi-urban</td>
<td>8 (13.3)</td>
<td>6 (16.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informal</td>
<td>15 (25.0)</td>
<td>8 (22.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary (1&lt;sup&gt;st&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>8 (13.3)</td>
<td>7 (19.4)</td>
<td>0.735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary (2&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>15 (25.0)</td>
<td>10 (27.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertiary (3&lt;sup&gt;rd&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>22 (36.7)</td>
<td>11 (30.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Occupation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workers (Private &amp; Public)</td>
<td>18 (30.0)</td>
<td>10 (27.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petty Traders</td>
<td>7 (11.7)</td>
<td>8 (22.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retirees</td>
<td>11 (18.3)</td>
<td>2 (5.6)</td>
<td>0.750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House Wives</td>
<td>13 (21.7)</td>
<td>13 (36.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmers</td>
<td>11 (18.3)</td>
<td>3 (8.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monthly Income</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Below N5,000</td>
<td>4 (6.7)</td>
<td>4 (11.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N5,000-N9,900</td>
<td>20 (33.3)</td>
<td>11 (30.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N10,000-N49,900</td>
<td>22 (36.7)</td>
<td>12 (33.3)</td>
<td>0.767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N50,000-N99,900</td>
<td>6 (10.0)</td>
<td>6 (16.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N100,000-N200,000</td>
<td>7 (11.7)</td>
<td>3 (8.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above N200,000</td>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Family History of Glaucoma</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive (+)</td>
<td>55 (91.7)</td>
<td>5 (13.9)</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative (-)</td>
<td>5 (8.3%)</td>
<td>31 (86.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Statistical significance at p<0.05
The distribution of socio-demographic characteristics of the participants is shown in table 1 above, subjects comprised of 48 (50.0%) males and 48 (50.0%) females giving a total of 96 participants. Of these were 30 males (50.0%) and 30 females (50.0%) in POAG subjects while in the non-glaucoma there were 18 males (50.0%) and 18 females (50.0%) and there was no significant difference in the sex between the two groups (P=1.000). Large number of participants were in 60-69 age bracket in POAG subjects (n=21; 35.0%) and in non-glaucoma subjects (n=12; 33.3%) and there was no significant difference in the age of participants between the study and controls (P=0.715). Likewise, there were no significant difference (P<0.05) in most of the socio-demographic characteristics (regarding the area of residence, education, job or income) of the participants between POAG subjects and non-glaucoma subjects. However, family history of participants was shown that most of the POAG subjects (n=55, 91.7%) had positive family history of glaucoma while most of non-glaucoma subjects (n=31, 86.1%) had negative family history of glaucoma. Positive family history of glaucoma is defined as those that had at least one family member (sibling, mother, father, ground mother, ground father, uncles or aunts), whereas negative family history is defined as those participants who had none family member with glaucoma. There was significant difference in the family history between the two groups (P=0.000); showing that most subjects in POAG group had positive family history of glaucoma while most subjects in non-glaucoma group had negative family history of glaucoma.
Table 2: Variation of Age and Some Ocular Physiologic Parameters between POAG subjects and Non-glaucoma Subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>POAG Median (IR)</th>
<th>Non-glaucoma Median (IR)</th>
<th>Statistic</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (Year)</td>
<td>60.00(15.00)</td>
<td>60.00(21.00)</td>
<td>-0.638</td>
<td>0.523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP (mmHg)</td>
<td>98.50(15.00)</td>
<td>95.00(9.50)</td>
<td>-1.011</td>
<td>0.312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOPP (mmHg)</td>
<td>43.00(13.00)</td>
<td>48.00(9.00)</td>
<td>-2.622</td>
<td>0.009*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOP (mmHg)</td>
<td>21.00(8.00)</td>
<td>16.00(4.75)</td>
<td>-4.838</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/D Ratio</td>
<td>0.85(0.10)</td>
<td>0.40(0.10)</td>
<td>-8.263</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGF-β1 (ng/ml)</td>
<td>1.40(0.53)</td>
<td>1.20(0.40)</td>
<td>-2.051</td>
<td>0.040*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSP-1 (μg/ml)</td>
<td>0.23(0.11)</td>
<td>0.21(0.05)</td>
<td>-1.035</td>
<td>0.301</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Statistical significance at p<0.05, IR= Interquartile Range, TGF-β1=Transforming Growth Factor-Beta1, TSP-1= Thrombospondin-1
Table 2 shows the Mann-Whitney test result for age and some ocular physiologic parameters between POAG subjects and non-glaucoma subjects. It was shown that the median concentration of TGF-β1 (ng/ml) among POAG subjects (1.40ng/ml) was higher than that of non-glaucoma subjects (1.20 ng/ml) which was statistically significant (P=0.040). On the other hand, the median of mean ocular perfusion pressure (MOPP) was lower in POAG subjects (43.00mmHg) than in non-glaucoma subjects (48.00mmHg) which was also statistically significant (P=0.009). Besides, median IOP and C/D ratio were higher in POAG subjects (21.00 and 0.85, respectively) than in non-glaucoma (16.00 and 0.4, respectively).
Figure 1: A Plot of Median Interquartile Range of TGF-β1 (ng/ml) between POAG and Non-glaucoma Subjects

Figure 1 above shows a boxplot of the median interquartile range of TGF-β1 (ng/ml) concentration among POAG. The range for POAG was from 0.87ng/ml to 1.93ng/ml, which was wider than the range for the non-glaucoma subjects, being from 0.80ng/ml to 1.60ng/ml. Additionally, the graph shows some outliers among the POAG subjects.
Figure 2: A Plot of Median Interquartile Range of MOPP (mmHg) between POAG and Non-glaucoma Subjects

Figure 2 above shows a boxplot of the median interquartile range of MOPP (mmHg) among POAG was from 30.00mmHg to 53.00mmHg, though median is lower but was wider than of the non-glaucoma subjects; being from 39.00mmHg to 57.50mmHg. Being wider means that more subjects were within the median of the POAG than non-glaucoma; showing that more of subjects had lower MOPP. Also, the graph shows very few outliers among POAG and non-glaucoma subjects.
Table 3: Spearman’s Correlation between TGF-β1 (ng/ml) and Some Ocular Physiologic Parameters among POAG Subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>r_s</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (year)</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>0.337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP (mmHg)</td>
<td>0.503</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOPP (mmHg)</td>
<td>0.364</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOP (mmHg)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/D Ratio</td>
<td>-0.118</td>
<td>0.368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSP-1(μg/ml)</td>
<td>-0.108</td>
<td>0.411</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Statistical significance at p<0.05

As shown in table 3 above, the Spearman’s correlation test of association between TGF-β1 (ng/ml) concentration in the plasma and Age/some ocular physiologic parameters demonstrated a significant association with MAP (P=0.000) and MOPP (P=0.000). This shows that as concentration of TGF-β1 increased, the MAP and MOPP increased.
Table 4: Spearman’s Correlation between TGF-β1 (ng/ml) and Some Ocular Physiologic Parameters among Non-glaucoma Subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>$r_s$</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (year)</td>
<td>0.353</td>
<td>0.033*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP (mmHg)</td>
<td>0.447</td>
<td>0.006*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOPP (mmHg)</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>0.024*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOP (mmHg)</td>
<td>-0.269</td>
<td>0.113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C/D Ratio</td>
<td>-0.016</td>
<td>0.928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSP-1 (μg/ml)</td>
<td>-0.016</td>
<td>0.928</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Statistical significance at p<0.05
Spearman’s correlation test shown in table 
4 above revealed significant association of 
TGF-β1 (ng/ml) concentration in the 
plasma with Age (P=0.033), MAP (P=0.006) 
and MOPP (P=0.024). This shows that as 
concentration TGF-β1 increased, the MAP 
and MOPP increased, also concentration of TGF-β1 increased with advanced age.

DISCUSSION
This study was done to evaluate relationship between plasma TGF-β1 and 
Primary Open Angle Glaucoma (POAG). Among recruited 96 subjects cohorts 
comprising of 60 glaucoma subjects as study group and 38 non-glaucoma 
subjects as control group. Age and BP were measured directly from the subjects 
while C/D ratio, IOP and visual field results were obtained from their files. Blood 
samples were obtained from the subjects, then subjected to chemical analysis by 
ELISA and plasma concentration of TGF-β1 and TSP-1 determined, TSP-1 was used to 
evaluate for platelet’s contribution to plasma TGF-β1. 
The significant association (P=0.000) between the family history and glaucoma 
as found in this study shows that those with 
glaucoma had more positive family history than those without glaucoma. This 
demonstrates that subjects who had their fathers, mothers or siblings with glaucoma 
had glaucoma than those who had none of those family members with glaucoma. 
Hence, this study finding of more 
glaucoma subjects with positive family 
history provide more evidence in support 
of positive family history as a risk factor for 
POAG (Kyari et al., 2015; Omoti & Edema, 
2007; Wolfs et al., 1998).

There was no significant difference 
(P=0.523) in the median age of subjects 
between the study group and control 
groups indicating that there was no 
difference the age of subjects in both the 
study and control groups. On the other 
hand, there was a significant difference in 
the median C/D ratio (P=0.000) and 
median IOP (P=0.000) of subjects between 
the study and control groups, that 
demonstrated that both groups were 
actually independent of each other because those were variables that 
qualified subjects placement into each 
group. 
This study found a statistical significant 
(P=0.040) elevation of plasma TGFβ1 
(ng/ml) concentration in POAG subjects as 
compared to non-glaucoma subjects. This 
finding demonstrates that people with 
POAG have elevated plasma TGF-β1 level. 
This is in line with other studies which found 
elevated blood TGF-β1 level with 
trabecular meshwork and lamina cribrosa 
of the optic nerve head remodeling 
(structural changes and loss of function) 
and axonal loss in POAG (Burgoyne, 2011; 
Kuchtey et al., 2014; Quigley, 2011). 
Besides, as the period of stress prolongs 
TGF-β1 use for signaling decreases due to 
abnormal inhibition, remodeling of the 
microfibrils or microfibrils defects producing
inactivated latent TGF-β1 that are not utilized for signaling (Agapova, 2001; Kuchtey & Kuchtey, 2014) leading to elevated plasma TGF-β1 and then apoptosis RGCs takes lead as reported by Tao et al., (2011). Also, finding of elevated blood TGF-β1 in glaucoma individuals in this research is consistent with other research findings; providing additional evidence supporting the microfibrils deficiency hypothesis like in other systemic diseases (Marfan syndrome) and apoptosis hypothesis of glaucoma as documented by Neptune et al., (2003) and Almasiech et al., (2012) respectively. Likewise, this study found a significant decrease (P=0.009) in median ocular perfusion pressure (MOPP) in POAG subjects than the non-glaucoma subjects which is in line with other studies that reported lower MOPP values in subjects with glaucoma (Agarwal et al., 2009; Tsai, 2009; Budenz et al., 2018). This finding provides more evidence in support of vascular insufficiency theory in the pathogenesis of glaucoma.

Platelet TGF-β1 intervening to the plasma TGF-β1 was determined by quantifying platelet TGF-β1 activator Thrombospondin-1 (TSP-1) and it was used as a marker of platelet activation in other studies (McGillicuddy et al., 2006). In this study there was no significant difference (P=0.301) in the level of TSP-1 between glaucoma subjects and controls and with no correlation between TGFβ1 and TSP1 in both POAG (P=0.411) and non-glaucoma subjects (P=0.928), indicating that there was no significant platelets contribution to plasma TGFβ1 which is consistent with previous study finding of no contribution of platelets in citrated plasma (Kropf et al., 1997). However, other study that found otherwise may be because they used acid activated plasma sample; causing degranulation of platelets (Kuchtey et al., 2014). Platelets the main intervening source of plasma TGF-β1 which contain high amount of TGF-β1 in their α-granules when released during platelet degranulation constitute intervening molecules to the normal plasma TGF-β1 variable. This could occur during blood collection, using serum TGF-β1, or acid activation of plasma TGF-β1 but it was significantly minimized in this study by precautions taken. However, TSP-1 is an activator of TGF-β1; expression of TGF-β1 in the trabecular meshwork of glaucoma patients has been shown to be induced by TSP-1 and TSP-1 mRNA expression is induced by stretch activation of cultured lamina cribrosa cells indicating that levels of activated TGF-β1 may be increased in POAG leading to elevated blood TSP-1 and activated TGF-β1 (Flügel-Koch et al., 2004), but not found in this study. The association between TGF-β1 and other ocular physiologic parameters was tested among subjects in both study and Control groups. This study found statistically significant positive association between
TGF-β1 and MAP (P=0.000), TGF-β1 and MOPP (P=0.004) in glaucoma subjects. Also, significant positive associations were found between TGF-β1 and MAP (P=0.006), TGF-β1 and MOPP (P=0.024) in non-glaucoma subjects. Since the same associations of TGF-β1 and MAP or MOPP were found in both study and control groups shows that there was no possible vascular contribution to the plasma TGF-β1. Note that the increased level of TGF-β1 upregulates VEGF which has its consequent scaring effect on vascular endothelium and the high plasma level of TGF-β1 show that it was not being utilized for signaling (Ferrari et al., 2009; Sundberg & Rubin, 1996). Then, the consequent scaring effect on endothelium will lead to decreased ocular perfusion. Hence, the positive association seen in plasma may be a reversed of what is happening in RGCs of glaucoma patients.

In addition to associations of TGF-β1 and ocular physiologic parameters found among non-glaucoma subjects, this study found an between TGF-β1 and age which was statistically significant (P=0.033) and is consistent with other studies that reported about 2% in middle age and 3.85% in above 40 years prevalence for glaucoma among old age subjects (Coffey et al., 1993; Klein et al., 1992; Kyari et al., 2015). This finding provides more evidence in support of advanced age as a risk factor for POAG (Bowling, 2016; Omoti & Edema, 2007) and possibility of elevated plasma TGF-β1 as a risk factor for POAG with advanced age.

Furthermore, other studies found increased TGF-β1 with upregulated vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), and consequent scarring of the vascular endothelium and angiogenesis (Chang & Wu, 2009; Liu et al., 2004; Padua & Massaque, 2009) leading to decreased ocular perfusion among POAG subjects found in this study. Also, this is consistent with the studies which found increased TGF-β1 concentration with rise in IOP indicating that mechanical stretch induced optic nerve head astrocytes and trabecula meshwork cells expression of high level of TGF-β1 (Kirwan et al., 2004; Kuchtey and Kuchtey, 2014). Likewise, some studies found that stress increased the expression of TGF-β1 and VEGF inhibited production of MMP and stimulated tissue inhibitors of MMP leading to scaring of lamina cribrosa of ONH and TM stenosis (Sundberg & Rubin, 1996; Chang & Wu, 2009).

The study by Imanishi et al., (2000) concluded that a number of growth factors and cytokines participate in the regulation of ONH astrocytes/ganglion cell proliferation and apoptosis as it occurs in the maintenance of corneal transparency. Other study has shown that N-acetylcysteine (NAC) or Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH) oxidase (e.g NOX1/4) inhibitor may be useful in blocking fibrotic effects of TGFβ1.
on fibroblastic cells while keeping its level upregulated, thus acting as antifibroblastic agent (Murphy-Marshman et al., 2017).

CONCLUSION
The rise in plasma TGF-β1 and reduced MOPP values in glaucoma subjects are believed to lead to deterioration of the RGCs as seen in optic nerve head abnormality which eventually leads to optic nerve atrophy in glaucoma irrespective of IOP level. Therefore, TGF-β1 level modulation can help prevent RGCs loss and there is possibility of plasma TGF-β1 use as a biomarker to monitor the progression of glaucoma disease.
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