

<https://doi.org/10.46344/JBINO.2025.v14i05.07>

SCREENING, EDUCATION, AND POLICY: PUBLIC HEALTH APPROACHES TO REDUCING BREAST CANCER BURDEN

*Emmanuel Ifeanyi Obeagu

Department of Biomedical and Laboratory Science, Africa University, Zimbabwe, E-mail: emmanuelobeagu@yahoo.com, ORCID: 0000-0002-4538-0161

ABSTRACT

Breast cancer remains the leading cause of cancer morbidity and mortality among women worldwide, with survival outcomes largely determined by the timing of detection and access to care. Public health strategies anchored in screening, education, and policy interventions provide critical avenues for reducing disease burden and addressing inequities across populations. Screening enables early diagnosis, education promotes awareness and preventive health behaviors, and policies ensure sustainable access to services and resources. This narrative review examines the interplay of these three pillars, highlighting their individual contributions and synergistic potential in reducing disparities, improving survival, and strengthening health systems. Integrating evidence-based screening programs, culturally sensitive education, and supportive policy frameworks offers a comprehensive path toward effective breast cancer control and long-term population health improvement.

Keywords: *Breast cancer, Screening, Public health policy, Health education, Prevention*

Introduction

Breast cancer is the most common malignancy among women worldwide and a leading cause of cancer-related mortality, accounting for approximately 2.3 million new cases and 685,000 deaths annually. Its burden is not confined to high-income countries but has become a growing public health challenge in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), where survival rates remain significantly lower due to late presentation, limited diagnostic infrastructure, and inequitable access to treatment. While advances in oncology have improved outcomes in well-resourced settings, the global distribution of morbidity and mortality underscores persistent disparities that demand population-level solutions [1-3]. Public health strategies provide a critical lens through which breast cancer can be addressed beyond the biomedical domain. Early detection through organized screening programs has been shown to significantly reduce mortality, yet coverage remains uneven, especially in resource-constrained regions. Similarly, public education initiatives play an essential role in shaping knowledge, attitudes, and practices related to breast health, empowering communities to participate in prevention and early detection efforts. At the same time, policy interventions establish the structural foundation for sustainable cancer control by ensuring equitable access to services, financing healthcare systems, and mobilizing resources [4-6].

The intersection of screening, education, and policy represents a powerful triad in the fight against breast cancer. Screening

ensures timely identification of disease, education fosters health literacy and behavioral change, and policy drives systemic equity and sustainability. When these components are aligned, they create a synergistic impact capable of reducing incidence, advancing survival outcomes, and bridging the global gap in breast cancer care [7-8]. This review explores the role of screening, education, and policy as interconnected public health approaches to reducing the burden of breast cancer. By examining their individual contributions and the value of their integration, it highlights pathways for developing comprehensive, equitable, and sustainable strategies to improve women's health across diverse populations.

Breast Cancer Screening: A Public Health Imperative

Screening for breast cancer represents one of the most effective strategies for reducing mortality and improving survival outcomes. Its primary value lies in enabling the early detection of malignancy, often before the onset of symptoms, when treatment is most effective and prognosis is favorable. Mammography remains the gold standard for population-based screening, with evidence from multiple clinical trials and national programs demonstrating significant reductions in breast cancer mortality where it is systematically implemented. In high-income countries, well-established mammography programs have contributed to downward trends in mortality and increased survival rates [9-10]. However, the benefits of screening are not equitably distributed. In many low- and

middle-income countries, access to mammography is limited by cost, lack of infrastructure, and insufficient trained personnel. These barriers often result in late-stage presentation, when treatment options are more limited and survival chances significantly reduced. In such contexts, alternative strategies such as clinical breast examination (CBE) and breast self-examination (BSE) are frequently promoted. Although these methods are less sensitive than mammography, they play an important role in raising awareness, encouraging health-seeking behaviors, and detecting abnormalities in environments where advanced imaging technologies are unavailable [11-12].

Innovative approaches have also emerged to bridge gaps in access. Mobile mammography units, outreach screening programs, and integration of breast health checks into primary healthcare services have shown promise in extending coverage to underserved populations. Equally important is the establishment of referral pathways and diagnostic services to ensure that women who undergo screening receive timely follow-up care. Without this continuity, screening programs risk generating anxiety without improving outcomes [13-14]. The public health imperative of breast cancer screening, therefore, lies not only in providing access to detection methods but also in ensuring equity, continuity, and integration within broader health systems. Effective screening must be supported by community education to encourage participation, and by policy frameworks that subsidize costs, expand infrastructure, and guarantee treatment availability. In this

way, screening becomes more than a diagnostic tool; it is a public health intervention capable of reshaping survival trajectories and reducing the burden of breast cancer worldwide [15-16].

Health Education: Empowering Communities

Health education is a cornerstone of breast cancer prevention and control, serving as the link between medical interventions and community participation. While advances in diagnostic technology and treatment are critical, their impact is limited if women are unaware of risk factors, available services, or the importance of early detection. Education empowers individuals with the knowledge and confidence to take proactive steps in safeguarding their health, thereby fostering a culture of prevention and timely care [17-18]. In many parts of the world, particularly in low- and middle-income countries, lack of awareness is a key driver of delayed presentation. Women often seek care only when symptoms are advanced, reducing the likelihood of successful treatment. Public education campaigns that raise awareness about breast cancer symptoms, promote breast health practices, and emphasize the benefits of screening have been shown to improve health-seeking behaviors. Community outreach, mass media campaigns, school-based programs, and grassroots mobilization by local organizations all play crucial roles in disseminating information [19-20].

Cultural sensitivity remains vital to the success of educational initiatives. Breast cancer awareness must be framed in a way that respects social norms, reduces stigma, and addresses fears associated

with diagnosis and treatment. Engaging local leaders, leveraging indigenous communication channels, and using local languages can foster trust and increase participation. Moreover, involving survivors as advocates provides relatable voices that encourage women to overcome fear and act [21-23]. Beyond awareness, education also tackles misconceptions and myths that often discourage women from seeking screening or treatment. Misinformation about breast cancer being incurable, or fear of surgery leading to social rejection, can only be countered through sustained and culturally relevant education. Empowering women with accurate knowledge enable them to recognize warning signs early and seek care without delay [24-26]. Health education does not only benefit individuals; it strengthens communities by creating supportive networks where collective responsibility for health is emphasized. By normalizing conversations about breast health, education reduces stigma, enhances social support, and fosters environments where early detection and prevention are prioritized. When coupled with screening programs and policy support, education becomes a catalyst for reducing disparities, improving participation in preventive services, and ultimately lowering breast cancer mortality [27-28].

Policy Interventions: Building Sustainable Systems

Policy interventions form the backbone of comprehensive breast cancer control, providing the structural and financial framework that supports screening, education, diagnosis, and treatment. While

individual awareness and medical advances are essential, their effectiveness depends on systems-level strategies that ensure equitable access and long-term sustainability. Well-crafted policies transform fragmented efforts into coordinated programs, thereby reducing disparities and maximizing public health impact [29]. National cancer control plans (NCCPs) exemplify how governments can institutionalize breast cancer prevention and management within broader health systems. These policies outline priorities for screening, allocate resources for treatment infrastructure, and establish monitoring mechanisms such as cancer registries. By embedding breast cancer services into primary health care and universal health coverage schemes, policies reduce the financial burden on individuals and increase participation in early detection programs. In countries where such frameworks are robust, survival rates have significantly improved, highlighting the transformative power of policy [30].

Equity remains at the heart of effective policy. In many low- and middle-income countries, breast cancer care is hindered by out-of-pocket costs, shortages of diagnostic equipment, and uneven distribution of health professionals. Policy measures that subsidize mammography, incentivize rural service provision, and strengthen referral networks are critical to overcoming these barriers. Similarly, workforce development policies that train and retain oncology specialists, radiologists, and pathologists ensure that health systems can deliver timely and accurate services [31]. Beyond healthcare financing and infrastructure, policies also

influence risk reduction by addressing modifiable lifestyle factors linked to breast cancer. Legislation supporting tobacco control, alcohol regulation, physical activity promotion, and obesity prevention contributes to lowering incidence. Environmental and occupational safety policies further extend protection by reducing exposure to carcinogens. In this sense, breast cancer policy must be multisectoral, engaging not only health ministries but also education, labor, agriculture, and finance sectors [32].

International collaboration and advocacy also shape policy environments. Partnerships between governments, civil society organizations, and global health institutions mobilize resources and foster knowledge exchange. For example, policy frameworks supported by the World Health Organization (WHO) and regional cancer networks provide templates for developing evidence-based, context-specific strategies. Importantly, advocacy efforts from patient groups and non-governmental organizations ensure that women's voices are included in policy formulation, strengthening accountability and responsiveness [33]. Ultimately, sustainable systems are those that integrate prevention, early detection, treatment, and palliative care within a coherent policy framework. By aligning funding, infrastructure, and human resources, policy interventions enable health systems to deliver consistent, high-quality breast cancer care. Such systems are not built overnight, but through deliberate and coordinated policymaking, countries can make significant strides in reducing the burden of breast cancer and

promoting health equity for women worldwide [34].

Integrating Screening, Education, and Policy: A Synergistic Approach

While screening, education, and policy each play distinct roles in breast cancer control, their true impact is realized when they are integrated into a unified strategy. Alone, each pillar contributes to progress, but together they create a synergistic framework that strengthens prevention, early detection, and equitable access to care. This integration transforms fragmented initiatives into a comprehensive public health response capable of reducing disparities and improving survival on a population scale [35]. Screening programs, for example, are most effective when supported by education. Awareness campaigns increase participation by addressing misconceptions, reducing stigma, and motivating women to seek timely care. At the same time, policies ensure that screening services are accessible, affordable, and linked to diagnostic and treatment facilities. Without education, participation rates remain low; without policy, screening remains inaccessible or unsustainable. Integration ensures that women not only know about screening but also have the means to act upon that knowledge [31].

Education further amplifies the impact of policy by cultivating public demand for quality services and accountability. When communities are informed, they become active stakeholders, advocating for better access and improved health infrastructure. Similarly, policies that embed health education into schools, workplaces, and

media platforms sustain awareness over generations, reinforcing prevention and early detection as cultural norms [32]. The synergy of these approaches is evident in countries that have combined government-subsidized mammography programs with mass awareness campaigns and strong referral systems. These integrated models have demonstrated reductions in late-stage diagnoses and improved survival outcomes. In resource-constrained settings, innovative combinations such as community-based education paired with clinical breast examination and government-supported pilot programs have shown that integration can succeed even without advanced technologies [33]. Integration also fosters efficiency by aligning resources across health sectors. Coordinated planning prevents duplication of efforts, optimizes use of limited infrastructure, and strengthens continuity of care. For instance, linking community health workers who provide education with referral pathways for screening ensures that women move seamlessly through the continuum of care [34-35].

Conclusion

Breast cancer remains a formidable public health challenge, but its burden can be substantially reduced through strategies that extend beyond the clinical setting. Screening, education, and policy form a triad of interventions that, when effectively implemented and integrated, create a comprehensive framework for prevention, early detection, and equitable access to care. Screening offers the opportunity to diagnose disease at earlier, more treatable stages; education empowers individuals

and communities with the knowledge to recognize risk and seek timely care; and policy establishes the structural backbone for sustainable systems, resource allocation, and health equity.

The success of breast cancer control depends not only on the strength of each pillar but also on their synergy. Education ensures participation in screening programs, while supportive policies guarantee affordability and access. Integration of these elements transforms fragmented efforts into coordinated national strategies that reduce disparities and strengthen health systems. Moving forward, countries must prioritize culturally sensitive education, invest in accessible and context-appropriate screening technologies, and design policies that bridge gaps in financing, infrastructure, and workforce capacity. Global collaboration and local advocacy will be essential to sustaining momentum and ensuring that progress reaches even the most underserved populations.

References

1. Arnold, M., Morgan, E., Rumgay, H., Mafra, A., Singh, D., Laversanne, M., Vignat, J., Gralow, J. R., Cardoso, F., Siesling, S., & Soerjomataram, I. (2022). Current and future burden of breast cancer: Global statistics for 2020 and 2040. *Breast (Edinburgh, Scotland)*, 66, 15–23. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.breast.2022.08.010>
2. Lei, S., Zheng, R., Zhang, S., Wang, S., Chen, R., Sun, K., Zeng, H., Zhou, J., & Wei, W. (2021). Global patterns of breast cancer incidence and mortality: A population-based cancer registry data analysis from 2000 to 2020. *Cancer communications*

- (London, England), 41(11), 1183–1194.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/cac2.12207>
3. Obeagu E. I. (2025). N2 Neutrophils and Tumor Progression in Breast Cancer: Molecular Pathways and Implications. *Breast cancer (Dove Medical Press)*, 17, 639–651.
<https://doi.org/10.2147/BCTT.S542787>
 4. Obeagu, E. I., &Obeagu, G. U. (2024). Exploring the profound link: Breastfeeding's impact on alleviating the burden of breast cancer - A review. *Medicine*, 103(15), e37695.
<https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000000037695>
 5. Wheeler, S. B., Reeder-Hayes, K. E., & Carey, L. A. (2013). Disparities in breast cancer treatment and outcomes: biological, social, and health system determinants and opportunities for research. *The oncologist*, 18(9), 986–993.
<https://doi.org/10.1634/theoncologist.2013-0243>
 6. Obeagu, E. I., &Obeagu, G. U. (2024). Lymphocyte infiltration in breast cancer: A promising prognostic indicator. *Medicine*, 103(49), e40845.
<https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000000040845>
 7. Obeagu, E. I., &Obeagu, G. U. (2024). Exploring neutrophil functionality in breast cancer progression: A review. *Medicine*, 103(13), e37654.
<https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000000037654>
 8. Bradley, C. J., Kitchen, S., Bhatia, S., Bynum, J., Darien, G., Lichtenfeld, J. L., Oyer, R., Shulman, L. N., & Sheldon, L. K. (2022). Policies and Practices to Address Cancer's Long-Term Adverse Consequences. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, 114(8), 1065–1071.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/djac086>
 9. Obeagu E. I. (2025). Neutrophil-driven tumor inflammation in breast cancer: Pathways and therapeutic implications: A narrative review. *Medicine*, 104(25), e43024.
<https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000000043024>
 10. Gbenonsi, G. Y., Martini, J., &Mahieu, C. (2024). An analytical framework for breast cancer public policies in Sub-Saharan Africa: results from a comprehensive literature review and an adapted policy Delphi. *BMC public health*, 24(1), 1535.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-024-18937-5>
 11. Espina, C., Soerjomataram, I., Forman, D., & Martín-Moreno, J. M. (2018). Cancer prevention policy in the EU: Best practices are now well recognised; no reason for countries to lag behind. *Journal of cancer policy*, 18, 40–51.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcpo.2018.09.001>
 12. Obeagu E. I. (2025). The selenium paradox friend or foe in breast cancer? *Annals of medicine and surgery (2012)*, 87(9), 5569–5577.
<https://doi.org/10.1097/MS9.00000000000003464>
 13. Obeagu, E. I., &Obeagu, G. U. (2025). Revolutionizing breast cancer monitoring: emerging hematocrit-based metrics - a narrative review. *Annals of medicine and surgery (2012)*, 87(6), 3327–3338.
<https://doi.org/10.1097/MS9.00000000000003020>
 14. Shetty M. K. (2010). Screening for breast cancer with mammography: current status and an overview. *Indian journal of surgical oncology*, 1(3), 218–223.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s13193-010-0014-x>

15. Ponce-Chazarri, L., Ponce-Blandón, J. A., Immordino, P., Giordano, A., & Morales, F. (2023). Barriers to Breast Cancer-Screening Adherence in Vulnerable Populations. *Cancers*, 15(3), 604. <https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers15030604>
16. Obeagu E. I. (2025). The nutritional equation: decoding diet's influence on breast cancer risk and progression - a perspective. *Annals of medicine and surgery* (2012), 87(9), 5528–5534. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MS9.00000000000003612>
17. Gbenonsi, G. Y., Martini, J., & Mahieu, C. (2024). An analytical framework for breast cancer public policies in Sub-Saharan Africa: results from a comprehensive literature review and an adapted policy Delphi. *BMC public health*, 24(1), 1535. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-024-18937-5>
18. Obeagu, E. I., & Maibouge Tanko, M. S. (2025). Iron metabolism in breast cancer: mechanisms and therapeutic implications: a narrative review. *Annals of medicine and surgery* (2012), 87(6), 3403–3409. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MS9.00000000000003173>
19. Wilkinson, L., & Gathani, T. (2022). Understanding breast cancer as a global health concern. *The British journal of radiology*, 95(1130), 20211033. <https://doi.org/10.1259/bjr.20211033>
20. Obeagu E. I. (2025). Thromboinflammatory pathways in breast cancer: clinical and molecular insights into venous thromboembolism risk - a narrative review. *Annals of medicine and surgery* (2012), 87(9), 5822–5828. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MS9.00000000000003644>
21. Kitaw, T. A., Tilahun, B. D., Zemariam, A. B., Getie, A., Bizuayehu, M. A., & Haile, R. N. (2025). The financial toxicity of cancer: unveiling global burden and risk factors - a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ global health*, 10(2), e017133. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2024-017133>
22. Obeagu, E. I., & Obeagu, G. U. (2024). Predictive models and biomarkers for survival in stage III breast cancer: a review of clinical applications and future directions. *Annals of medicine and surgery* (2012), 86(10), 5980–5987. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MS9.00000000000002517>
23. Bhatia, S., Landier, W., Paskett, E. D., Peters, K. B., Merrill, J. K., Phillips, J., & Osarogiagbon, R. U. (2022). Rural-Urban Disparities in Cancer Outcomes: Opportunities for Future Research. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, 114(7), 940–952. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/djac030>
24. Malope, S. D., Norris, S. A., & Joffe, M. (2024). Culture, community, and cancer: understandings of breast cancer from a non-lived experience among women living in Soweto. *BMC women's health*, 24(1), 594. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12905-024-03431-2>
25. Sítima, G., Galhardo-Branco, C., & Reis-Pina, P. (2024). Equity of access to palliative care: a scoping review. *International journal for equity in health*, 23(1), 248. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12939-024-02321-1>
26. Kreuter, M. W., Thompson, T., McQueen, A., & Garg, R. (2021). Addressing Social Needs in Health Care Settings: Evidence, Challenges, and Opportunities for Public Health. *Annual review of public health*, 42,

- 329–344. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-publhealth-090419-102204>
27. Aslam, A., Mustafa, A. G., Hussnain, A., Saeed, H., Nazar, F., Amjad, M., Mahmood, A., Afzal, A., Fatima, A., & Alkhalidi, D. K. (2024). Assessing Awareness, Attitude, and Practices of Breast Cancer Screening and Prevention Among General Public and Physicians in Pakistan: A Nation With the Highest Breast Cancer Incidence in Asia. *International journal of breast cancer*, 2024, 2128388. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2024/2128388>
 28. Alrosan, A. Z., Alwidyan, T., Heilat, G. B., Rataan, A. O., Madae'en, S., Alrosan, K., Awwad, F. S., & Ali, T. (2025). Knowledge and awareness of breast cancer signs and symptoms among Jordanian women. *Future science OA*, 11(1), 2510871. <https://doi.org/10.1080/20565623.2025.2510871>
 29. Turkson-Ocran, R. N., Nkimbeng, M., Erol, D., Hwang, D. A., Aryitey, A. A., & Hughes, V. (2022). Strategies for Providing Culturally Sensitive Care to Diverse Populations. *Journal of Christian nursing : a quarterly publication of Nurses Christian Fellowship*, 39(1), 16–21. <https://doi.org/10.1097/CNJ.0000000000000900>
 30. Wakefield, M. A., Loken, B., & Hornik, R. C. (2010). Use of mass media campaigns to change health behaviour. *Lancet (London, England)*, 376(9748), 1261–1271. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(10\)60809-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(10)60809-4)
 31. AlMutawah, K., Taqi, G., Radhwan, S., AlMutairi, A., AlHussainan, A., Faraj, M., & AlBaloul, A. H. (2025). Knowledge and awareness of breast cancer symptoms, risk factors, and screening barriers among women in Kuwait: a cross-sectional study. *BMC women's health*, 25(1), 448. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12905-025-03994-8>
 32. Gbenonsi, G. Y., Martini, J., & Mahieu, C. (2024). An analytical framework for breast cancer public policies in Sub-Saharan Africa: results from a comprehensive literature review and an adapted policy Delphi. *BMC public health*, 24(1), 1535. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-024-18937-5>
 33. Al Hasan, S. M., Bennett, D. L., & Toriola, A. T. (2025). Screening programmes and breast cancer mortality: an observational study of 194 countries. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 103(8), 470–483. <https://doi.org/10.2471/BLT.24.292529>
 34. Iqbal J. (2025). Women-Centric Breast Cancer Care in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: Challenges, Solutions, and a Roadmap for Equity. *Cancer control : journal of the Moffitt Cancer Center*, 32, 10732748251378804. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10732748251378804>
 35. Caldwell, H. A. T., Yusuf, J., Carrea, C., Conrad, P., Embrett, M., Fierbeck, K., Hajizadeh, M., Kirk, S. F. L., Rothfus, M., Sampalli, T., Sim, S. M., Tomblin Murphy, G., & Williams, L. (2024). Strategies and indicators to integrate health equity in health service and delivery systems in high-income countries: a scoping review. *JBME evidence synthesis*, 22(6), 949–1070. <https://doi.org/10.11124/JBIES-23-00051>